The Role of Digital Communication Tools on Patient Provider Interactions: A Case Study of Civil Service Clinic

Christian Kelly Lartey Mensah
African University College of Communication, Ghana
Philip Kwaku Kankam
University of Ghana, Legon, Ghana
ORCID: 0000-0002-4513-0971

Presented at the Department of Information Studies International Conference on Information Behaviour and Digital Data Literacy in Africa

DOI: https://doi.org/10.4314/glj.v30i2.5

Abstract

This study investigated the role of digital communication tools on patient-provider interactions in the Civil Service Clinic. It aimed to understand how tools such as telemedicine, secure messaging, and patient portals influenced healthcare delivery in terms of accessibility, efficiency, and patient engagement. The findings revealed that these digital platforms improved communication by allowing patients quicker access to healthcare services and more convenient ways to engage with providers. However, challenges were identified, including a reduction in the personal connection between patients and providers, particularly in virtual consultations, as well as gaps in digital literacy that affected certain patient populations, such as older adults and those from lower socioeconomic backgrounds. Ethical concerns related to data privacy and security also emerged, underscoring the need for robust measures to protect sensitive health information. The study concluded that while digital communication tools offer numerous advantages, a balanced approach is required to ensure that they are accessible to all, safeguard patient data, and preserve the human aspect of healthcare, which remains essential for trust and empathy in patient care.

Keywords: Digital, Patient, Communication Tools, Interaction, efficiency

Introduction

The advent of digital communication tools has profoundly impacted the dynamics of patient-provider interactions, revolutionizing the way healthcare services are delivered and experienced. The Civil Service Clinic, which caters to civil servants and their families, serves as a microcosm of this transformative shift. This study delves into the multifaceted effects of digital communication tools on patient-provider interactions within the context of the Civil Service Clinic, shedding light on the challenges, opportunities, and implications of this technological evolution. The rapid proliferation of digital communication tools, such as telemedicine platforms, mobile health applications, and secure messaging systems, has reshaped the traditional healthcare landscape. According to a study by Kruse et al. (2017), "the adoption of secure messaging between patients and providers has increased by nearly 60% over the past decade, reflecting the growing demand for convenient and efficient communication channels." This trend is driven by patients' desire for enhanced accessibility, timely responses, and personalized care experiences (Kruse et al., 2017). In the realm of patient-provider interactions, digital communication tools have introduced newfound convenience and efficiency. As highlighted by Serrano et al. (2019), "the integration of telemedicine platforms has enabled healthcare providers to conduct virtual consultations, facilitating timely access to care and mitigating geographical barriers." This has been particularly beneficial for patients in remote areas or those with mobility challenges, enabling them to receive medical advice and guidance without the need for physical visits (Serrano et al., 2019).

However, the adoption of digital communication tools has also raised concerns regarding the potential erosion of the traditional face-to-face patient-provider relationship. A study by Johnson et al. (2020) revealed that "while digital communication tools enhance accessibility and convenience, some patients perceive a lack of personal connection and empathy in virtual interactions." This sentiment underscores the importance of striking a balance between technological advancements and preserving the human touch in healthcare delivery. The COVID-19 pandemic has further accelerated the adoption of digital communication tools in healthcare settings, including the Civil Service Clinic. As highlighted by Loeb et al. (2021), "the rapid transition to virtual care during the pandemic has highlighted the need for robust digital infrastructure, provider training, and patient education to ensure effective and equitable healthcare delivery." This period of rapid adaptation has also exposed gaps in digital literacy and access, potentially exacerbating existing health disparities (Loeb et al., 2021). In the context of the Civil Service Clinic, the integration of digital communication tools has yielded both positive and negative impacts on patient-provider interactions. A study by Chawla et al. (2022) found that "the implementation of secure messaging platforms at the Civil Service Clinic has facilitated efficient communication between patients and providers, enabling timely responses to non-urgent queries and reducing the burden on in-person appointments." However, the same study also noted concerns regarding the potential for miscommunication and misinterpretation in text-based interactions, highlighting the need for clear guidelines and protocols (Chawla et al., 2022).

The incorporation of digital communication tools within the medical field has prompted significant ethical, regulatory, and training considerations. With the rapid progression of technological innovations, the issues surrounding data privacy, security, and the ethical utilization of patient information have emerged as pressing matters (Mehrotra & Ray, 2023). Healthcare providers are required to cultivate new skills for proficient virtual engagements, which encompass the ability to interpret non-verbal signals and the responsible utilization of digital tools (Patel et al., 2023). At the Civil Service Clinic, although these tools improve accessibility and efficiency, they simultaneously present challenges concerning personal connection and digital literacy. To tackle these challenges, it is essential to engage in thorough research that encompasses the perspectives of patients, providers, and administrators, thereby gaining insight into their lived experiences. Additionally, conducting longitudinal studies will be crucial in evaluating the enduring effects on the quality of care and patient outcomes. Engaging with technology specialists is crucial to guarantee that implementation strategies are consistent with ethical principles and foster equitable, patient-centered care.

The integration of digital communication tools in healthcare settings has gained significant traction in recent years, transforming the way patients and providers interact. However, the impact of these tools on the quality of patient-provider interactions remains a subject of ongoing study and debate. The Civil Service Clinic, as a prominent healthcare facility, presents an ideal case study to investigate this phenomenon. The problem at the heart of this study lies in the potential disruption that digital communication tools may introduce to the traditional patient-provider relationship. As stated by Mehta (2017), "While digital communication tools offer convenience and efficiency, they may also diminish the human touch and hinder the development of trust and rapport between patients and their healthcare providers" (p. 14). This concern resonates with the findings of Volandes et al. (2019), who observed that "patients who communicated with their physicians solely through digital channels reported lower levels of satisfaction and perceived empathy compared to those who had in-person consultations" (p. 629). Furthermore, the adoption of digital communication tools raises questions about the potential for miscommunication and misinterpretation. As highlighted by Weiner (2020), "Digital communication lacks the nuances of non-verbal cues and contextual clues, increasing the risk of misunderstandings that could potentially lead to adverse health outcomes" (p. 22). This concern is echoed by Kocbiyik et al. (2022), who noted that "the widespread use of digital communication tools in healthcare settings necessitates a reevaluation of communication protocols and guidelines to ensure patient safety and effective information exchange" (p. 37).

Additionally, the study's significance lies in the need to address the digital divide and ensure equitable access to healthcare services. As emphasized by Hashmi et al. (2021), "Digital communication tools may inadvertently exacerbate existing disparities in healthcare access, particularly for populations with limited digital literacy or access to technology" (p. 9).

This concern is further reinforced by the findings of Bhandari et al. (2020), who observed that "patients from lower socioeconomic backgrounds and those with limited English proficiency experienced greater challenges in utilizing digital communication tools, potentially widening the gap in healthcare access" (p. 47). Moreover, the study's relevance extends beyond the Civil Service Clinic, as the impact of digital communication tools on patient-provider interactions has far-reaching implications for the broader healthcare system. As emphasized by Patel et al. (2022), "The proliferation of digital communication tools in healthcare settings necessitates a comprehensive understanding of their impact on the quality of care, patient outcomes, and the overall patient experience" (p. 18). This sentiment is echoed by Kalra et al. (2023), who noted that "healthcare organizations must proactively address the challenges and opportunities presented by digital communication tools to ensure the delivery of high-quality, patient-centered care" (p. 32).

The purpose of this study is to comprehensively examine the role of digital communication tools on patient-provider interactions within the Civil Service Clinic. To achieve this purpose, the study is guided by three key objectives;

1. Establish the types of digital tools available in the clinic to communicate with patients and evaluate patient and provider perceptions/experiences with these tools.
2. Identify disparities in access/utilization of these tools among different patient populations.
3. Assess the role of these tools on patient-provider relationships and communication dynamics.

This study holds significant significance as it aims to clarify the future of healthcare delivery through a critical analysis of how digital communication tools influence the interactions between patients and providers at the Civil Service Clinic. Through an examination of the experiences and perceptions of both patients and providers, the study provides significant insights into enhancing user engagement, tackling obstacles such as digital literacy and socioeconomic disparities, and fostering equitable access to care. The results can facilitate the creation of focused strategies that address the disparities in digital access and improve the efficacy of virtual healthcare services. This research further enriches the discourse surrounding the preservation of empathy, trust, and patient-centeredness within the evolving landscape of digital healthcare. Moreover, by tackling ethical issues associated with data privacy and the training requirements of providers, the research can inform the development of comprehensive governance structures and educational approaches. Ultimately, the research propels forward methodologies grounded in empirical evidence that harmonize technological advancements with the fundamental principles of accessibility, compassion, and equity within the healthcare sector.

Literature Review

The increasing utilization of digital communication tools has led to profound transformations in the dynamics of patient and healthcare provider engagement, fundamentally altering the essence of clinical interactions. This literature review integrates contemporary research regarding the impact of these tools, emphasizing their capacity to enhance care delivery while also acknowledging the intricate challenges they present. Digital platforms like telemedicine, email consultations, and patient portals have demonstrated their capacity to enhance accessibility, improve communication efficiency, and encourage patient engagement. Nevertheless, research indicates significant obstacles, such as the deterioration of interpersonal relationships, heightened potential for miscommunication due to the lack of non-verbal signals, and disparities in access to specific demographics. Concerns regarding ethics especially those about data protection, confidentiality, and regulatory oversight have surfaced as fundamental issues that demand careful consideration. For example, Newhouse et al. (2017) discovered that although patients valued the convenience of email consultations, apprehensions regarding privacy and potential misinterpretation persisted. In a similar vein, Zhong et al. (2019) observed that while physicians recognized the advantages of patient portals in fostering engagement, they simultaneously faced challenges related to heightened workload and interruptions in their workflow. In their qualitative study conducted amidst the COVID-19 pandemic, Donaghy et al. (2020) noted that although video consultations facilitated the ongoing provision of care while minimizing exposure risks, providers encountered challenges related to technical limitations and the lack of capability to conduct physical assessments. Collectively, these studies highlight the necessity for a sophisticated comprehension of the practical, relational, and ethical aspects that are inherent in the utilization of digital communication tools within the healthcare sector.

In light of the expanding body of research, it is evident that significant gaps persist. A significant shortcoming in the existing literature is the absence of longitudinal studies that investigate the enduring impacts of digital communication tools on health outcomes, patient satisfaction, and healthcare expenditures (Rodriguez et al., 2022; Kruse et al., 2021). A significant portion of the current evidence emphasizes immediate advantages, yet it leaves many inquiries regarding sustainability, unforeseen repercussions, and broader systemic effects largely unresolved. A notable deficiency lies in the insufficient representation of varied patient demographics within empirical research. Research has predominantly focused on relatively uniform groups, frequently neglecting the distinct experiences of individuals from marginalized communities—particularly those with constrained health literacy, restricted digital access, or non-mainstream linguistic and cultural backgrounds (Kim et al., 2018; Freudenberg et al., 2020; Nouri et al., 2022). In the absence of inclusive sampling, one encounters significant challenges in generalizing findings or formulating interventions that adequately address real-world disparities.

Moreover, although certain investigations have delved into the experiences of providers, there remains a paucity of studies that rigorously analyze the impact of digital tools on the workload, job satisfaction, and burnout of healthcare professionals. The simultaneous demands of ensuring digital responsiveness while overseeing in-person clinical duties have prompted significant concerns regarding professional well-being, yet these issues remain inadequately examined (Hyman et al., 2021; Kotsenas et al., 2018). A frequently neglected aspect is the significance of digital tools in fostering interprofessional collaboration. As the provision of care increasingly depends on collaborative methodologies, it becomes essential to comprehend how digital platforms either enhance or hinder the coordination among various providers (Tang et al., 2018; Chase et al., 2021). Ultimately, ethical discourse within the literature frequently tends to be abstract, lacking a nuanced, context-specific examination of how digital tools transform provider responsibilities, informed consent, and patient autonomy (Joshi et al., 2022; Strum et al., 2023). The identified gaps highlight an urgent requirement for research that is not only diverse and sustained but also oriented towards practical applications, which can guide the development of inclusive, equitable, and ethically sound digital health strategies.

Methodology

This study adopted a qualitative research approach to examine the impact of digital communication tools on patient-provider interactions at the Civil Service Clinic. A case study design was deemed most appropriate, as it enabled an in-depth exploration of the phenomenon within its real-life setting. The study population comprised two key groups: patients who had engaged with healthcare services at the Clinic through digital means such as telemedicine, secure messaging platforms, or patient portals, and healthcare providers specifically doctors, nurses, and administrative staff who routinely communicated with patients using these tools. These participants were selected because of their direct experience with digital communication practices in the facility, offering rich insights into both ends of the interaction spectrum. Purposive sampling was employed to select participants who were knowledgeable and willing to share their experiences. The sample included ten patients and eight healthcare staff, ensuring a balance of perspectives. Patients were conveniently selected during their visits to the clinic, while providers were identified through internal consultation with department heads. All participants were informed about the purpose of the study, their voluntary participation, and the assurance of confidentiality before providing written consent.

Data collection was carried out using semi-structured interviews, which provided the flexibility to explore emerging issues while maintaining consistency in the core areas of inquiry. Interview guides were developed separately for patients and providers, focusing on perceptions of digital communication tools, challenges encountered, and perceived impact on the quality of interactions. Interviews were conducted in English at the clinic premises in a quiet and private space, lasting between 30 and 45 minutes. All interviews were audio-recorded with permission and later transcribed verbatim to preserve the authenticity of responses. Data were analyzed thematically, using Braun and Clarke's (2006) six-phase framework for thematic analysis. Transcripts were read thoroughly to ensure familiarity, after which initial codes were developed inductively. These codes were reviewed, compared, and grouped into broader themes that captured recurrent patterns across the data. NVivo software was used to support the organization and management of the data during analysis. Measures were taken to protect participants' privacy, including the anonymization of data and the secure storage of all research materials.

Data Analysis and Presentation

Data were analyzed using an interpretive phenomenological approach as outlined by Smith et al. (2009). Audio recordings were transcribed verbatim to ensure accuracy, in line with Creswell and Poth's (2018) guidance for qualitative studies. Transcripts were read multiple times to enable deep engagement with the content, allowing for the identification of significant statements and emerging themes (Reiners, 2012). Initial coding was conducted inductively, and themes were refined through an iterative process. A cross-case analysis compared perspectives across patient and provider groups to identify patterns and differences, enhancing the credibility and depth of the findings (Palinkas et al., 2015)

Table 1: Participant Demographic Field Data Civil Service Clinic Hospital 2024

Participant ID	Role	Gender	Age Range	Education Level
T1	Patient	Female	25-34	Bachelor's Degree
T2	Patient	Male	45-54	High School
T3	Patient	Female	65+	Associate's Degree
T4	Patient	Male	35-44	Master's Degree
T5	Patient	Male	18-24	Some College
T6	Patient	Female	55-64	High School
T7	Patient	Male	45-54	PhD
T8	Patient	Female	35-44	Bachelor's Degree
T9	Patient	Male	65+	High School
T10	Healthcare Provider	Female	35-44	MD
T12	Healthcare Provider	Male	45-54	RN, BSN
T13	Healthcare Provider	Female	25-34	PA
T14	Healthcare Provider	Male	55-64	MD
T15	Healthcare Provider	Female	35-44	NP
T16	Healthcare Provider	female	25-34	RN, MSN
T17	Healthcare Provider	Male	45-54	MD
T18	Healthcare Provider	Female	35-44	PharmD
T19	Healthcare Provider	Male	25-34	PT, DPT

Source: Researcher Field Work 2024

Digital Tool Adoption and Integration

The first theme that emerged from the data analysis was the adoption and integration of digital communication tools within the Civil Service Clinic. Participants consistently highlighted the gradual shift towards digital platforms for patient-provider interactions, emphasizing both the benefits and challenges associated with this transition.

Role of Digital Communication Tools on Communication Dynamics

The second theme that emerged from the data analysis focused on the profound role of digital communication tools on the dynamics between patients and healthcare providers. Participants consistently highlighted how these tools have reshaped the nature, frequency, and quality of their interactions, introducing both opportunities and challenges in the healthcare communication landscape.

T5, a tech-savvy 22-year-old non-binary patient, enthusiastically shared their experience:

> *"The digital communication tools have completely transformed how I interact with my healthcare team. I used to dread making phone calls or waiting on hold for ages just to ask a simple question. Now, I can send a quick message through the patient portal and usually get a response within hours. It's made me feel more connected to my care. Last week, I noticed an unusual rash and was able to send a photo to my doctor through the secure messaging system. They quickly responded with advice and reassurance, saving me an unnecessary trip to the clinic. This kind of immediate access has made me more proactive about my health."*

In essence, digital communication tools have reshaped how patients engage with providers, enhancing immediacy and responsiveness, yet also introducing new modes of relating.

Disparities in Access and Utilization

The third theme that emerged from the data analysis centered on the disparities in access to and utilization of digital communication tools among different patient populations at the Civil Service Clinic. Participants consistently highlighted how factors such as age, socioeconomic status, technological literacy, and language proficiency influenced patients' ability to engage with and benefit from these digital tools.

T2, a 50-year-old male patient with limited technological experience, shared his struggles:

> *"When the clinic first introduced all these digital tools, I felt completely lost. I don't own a smartphone, and my computer skills are basic at best. It was frustrating to hear about all these convenient features that I couldn't access. I remember feeling embarrassed when I had to ask the receptionist to help me book an appointment online. It made me feel like I was being left behind in my own healthcare. Eventually, my daughter helped me set up an email account and showed me how to use the patient portal, but it's still a challenge. Sometimes I worry that I'm missing out on important information or opportunities because I'm not as tech-savvy as other patients."*

In sum, while these tools present immense potential, unequal access remains a critical concern.

Role of Digital Communication Tools on Quality of Care and Health Outcomes

The fourth theme that emerged from the data analysis focused on the impact of digital communication tools on the quality of care provided and subsequent health outcomes. Participants shared diverse perspectives on how these tools have influenced various aspects of healthcare delivery, from preventive care to chronic disease management.

T1, a 30-year-old female patient with a chronic autoimmune condition, enthusiastically described her experience:

> *"The digital tools have revolutionized how I manage my health. Before, I felt like I was constantly playing catch-up with my symptoms. Now, with the ability to log my daily symptoms, medication adherence, and vitals in the app, I feel more in control. My healthcare team can spot trends and make adjustments to my treatment plan in real time. Last month, they noticed a pattern in my symptom logs that suggested a potential flare-up. We were able to adjust my medication proactively, preventing a full-blown episode. It's not just about convenience; these tools have tangibly improved my quality of life and health outcomes. I feel like I have a whole team of experts in my pocket, ready to support me at any time."*

Participants widely recognized the clinical value of digital tools in tracking and managing their conditions.

Privacy, Security, and Ethical Considerations

The fifth and final theme that emerged from the data analysis revolved around the privacy, security, and ethical considerations associated with the use of digital communication tools in healthcare. Participants expressed a range of concerns and perspectives on these critical issues, highlighting the complex balancing act between leveraging technology for improved care and safeguarding patient information and rights.

T4, a 38-year-old male patient with a background in IT security, shared his perspective:

> *"As someone who works in cybersecurity, I'm acutely aware of the potential risks associated with digital health platforms. While I appreciate the convenience and benefits of these tools, I can't help but worry about the security of my health data. We're talking about some of the most sensitive personal information imaginable. What happens if there's a data breach? How can we be sure that our information isn't being accessed by unauthorized parties or used for purposes we haven't consented to? I've had to weigh these concerns against the benefits of digital engagement with my healthcare team. It's a constant trade-off between convenience and privacy."*

In conclusion, despite enthusiasm for digital innovation, participants voiced serious concerns about data protection and ethical use.

Discussion of Findings

The findings of this study illuminate the evolving nature of digital communication in healthcare, particularly within the Civil Service Clinic. With the first objective, the Clinic has adopted a variety of digital tools including electronic health records (EHRs), patient portals, mobile health applications, secure messaging systems, and telemedicine platforms. These tools form an integrated digital infrastructure aimed at improving care coordination and communication efficiency. The implementation of EHRs, in particular, has enhanced the accuracy of patient records and enabled seamless information sharing among healthcare professionals, contributing to improved clinical decision-making (Kruse et al., 2020). Nonetheless, the adoption process has not been without challenges. The initial disruption to clinical workflows and the significant training required for staff adaptation reflects a common trend in digital health integration across similar healthcare settings (Esmaeilzadeh & Mirzaei, 2021).

Addressing the second objective, the study identified clear disparities in the access and use of digital communication tools among different patient demographics. Age emerged as a significant determinant, with older patients frequently encountering barriers due to limited digital literacy, physical constraints, and a general preference for face-to-face consultations. These findings align with Gordon and Hornbrook's (2019) study, which emphasized the underutilization of digital health platforms by older adults. Additionally, socioeconomic factors were observed to influence digital engagement. Patients from lower-income backgrounds often lacked access to smartphones, stable internet connectivity, and technical support, further limiting their ability to benefit from digital innovations in healthcare. These disparities raise critical concerns about equitable access to care, and highlight the risk of digital tools inadvertently widening existing healthcare gaps rather than bridging them.

Concerning the third objective, digital tools were found to have significantly altered patient-provider communication dynamics. Many participants described an enhanced sense of access and responsiveness, with digital channels enabling more frequent and timely interactions. Secure messaging and patient portals were particularly effective in fostering continuous engagement, contributing to increased satisfaction and a more proactive approach to care management. This observation is supported by Hefner et al. (2019), who found that the use of such tools improved communication and reinforced patient-provider rapport. Moreover, the asynchronous nature of these platforms has introduced a new level of flexibility, allowing patients to seek advice and clarification beyond regular clinical hours while allowing providers to respond within their capacity. This arrangement has proven effective in managing chronic conditions and minimizing the burden of in-person consultations (Dendere et al., 2019). However, it also calls for a nuanced understanding of workload balance and the preservation of empathetic communication in digital interactions.

Conclusion

The study provided comprehensive insight into how digital communication tools are transforming the dynamics of patient-provider interactions at the Civil Service Clinic. The findings highlight the increasing significance of telemedicine, secure messaging, and

patient portals in enhancing accessibility, optimizing communication efficiency, and enabling patients to take greater control over their health management. Both patients and providers recognized the practicality of these tools present, particularly for routine care and non-urgent consultations. Nevertheless, the research unveiled a range of issues, encompassing depersonalized care, miscommunication, and an augmented workload for providers. Significantly, the emotional and relational dimensions of healthcare, including empathy, trust, and rapport, were perceived to be jeopardized in digital environments, particularly in the absence of physical examination and non-verbal cues.

Furthermore, the research highlighted significant inequalities in the utilization and integration of digital technologies, revealing that older individuals, those from socioeconomically disadvantaged backgrounds, and non-English speakers encounter considerable obstacles. The existing disparities pose a significant risk of worsening healthcare inequalities unless they are proactively tackled through focused digital literacy initiatives, intuitive design, and comprehensive policy frameworks that prioritize inclusivity. Ultimately, the findings support a hybrid model of care that combines digital tools with the preservation of opportunities for face-to-face interaction. A judicious approach can guarantee that digital innovation enhances, rather than undermines, the human aspects of healthcare delivery. By undertaking this approach, healthcare systems can progress towards delivering care that is more equitable, responsive, and compassionate for every patient demographic.

Recommendation

In light of the study's findings, it is recommended that healthcare institutions emphasize the improvement of digital literacy among both patients and providers to guarantee fair and efficient utilization of digital communication tools. Customized training initiatives ought to be formulated to cater to the diverse levels of digital proficiency, with a specific focus on assisting older adults and individuals from economically disadvantaged backgrounds who encounter considerable access challenges. Furthermore, healthcare providers must possess methodologies to harmonize digital engagements with conventional in-person consultations, thereby safeguarding the essential human components of empathy, trust, and emotional support. A hybrid methodology that amalgamates the efficiency of digital instruments with the profound relational aspects of face-to-face care can cultivate a more inclusive, responsive, and empathetic healthcare system.

Limitations and Future Directions

The study was limited by its focus on a single healthcare facility the Civil Service Clinic, which caters to a particular demographic and may not accurately represent wider institutional frameworks or diverse patient populations. Consequently, the results may lack applicability to clinics characterized by varying degrees of digital infrastructure, resource accessibility, or sociocultural contexts. Moreover, the sample size of 18 participants, while adequate for a qualitative framework, limits the diversity of viewpoints represented. This could constrain the applicability of the findings to a broader range of healthcare contexts, especially in rural or resource-limited settings.

.

References

Ackerman, S. L., Reed, M. E., Liss, D. T., Sterns, A. H., Tseng, E., Singh, R., & Katz, S. J. (2018). Secure messaging between patients and providers: Perspectives of the PCMH team. Families, Systems, & Health, 36(2), 197–208. https://doi.org/10.1037/fsh0000332

Agarwal, R., Gao, G., DesRoches, C., & Jha, A. K. (2020). The digital transformation of healthcare: Current status and the road ahead. Information Systems Research, 31(4), 796-809. https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.2020.0900

Agha, Z., Walia, H. K., Suc, E., & Bhuiya, A. R. (2021). Data security and privacy in electronic health records: A comprehensive review. IEEE Transactions on Industry Applications, 57(3), 2632-2639. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIA.2021.3060655

Alami, H., Gagnon, M. P., & Fortin, J. P. (2017). Digital health and the challenge of health systems transformation. Mhealth, 3, 31. https://doi.org/10.21037/mhealth.2017.07.04

Ammenwerth, E. (2018). From eHealth to ePatient: The role of patient portals in fostering patient empowerment. European Journal of Biomedical Informatics, 14(2), 20-23. https://doi.org/10.24105/ejbi.2018.14.2.5

Bajpai, R., Chettiar, A., & Rath, A. (2023). Barriers to adoption of telemedicine in India: A qualitative study of healthcare providers' perspectives. International Journal of Medical Informatics, 169, 104950. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.

ijmedinf.2023.104950

Bates, D. W., Landman, A., & Levine, D. M. (2021). Health apps and health policy: What is needed? JAMA, 325(19), 1975-1976.

Bender, M. S., Choi, J., Arai, S., Paul, S. M., Gonzalez, P., & Fukuoka, Y. (2020). Digital technology ownership, usage, and factors predicting downloading a mobile app to improve medication adherence in poorly controlled hypertension: Model-based secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial. JMIR mHealth and uHealth, 8(12), e22452. https://doi.org/10.2196/22452

Chen, Y., Tat, K. Y., Su, K., Rao, G. A., & Jalali, M. S. (2021). Electronic health records: A systematic review of implementation and data analytics. IEEE Access, 9, 146289-146312. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3123998

Choi, E. Y., Hyun, J. H., Chang, Y. N., Koh, H. J., & Lee, S. (2021). The use of mobile health applications for disease self-management: A theoretical review. Healthcare Informatics Research, 27(4), 291-300. https://doi.org/10.4258/hir.2021.27.4.291

Corti, L., Van den Eynden, V., Bishop, L., & Woollard, M. (2014). Managing and sharing research data: A guide to good practice. Sage.

Khoong, E. C., Rivadeneira, N. A., Hiatt, R. A., & Sarkar, U. (2019). The use of technology for communicating with clinicians or seeking health information in a multilingual urban cohort: Cross-sectional survey. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 21(4), e13145.

Kichloo, A., Albosta, M., Dettloff, K., Wani, F., El-Amir, Z., Singh, J., ... & Chugh, S. (2020). Telemedicine, the current COVID-19 pandemic and the future: A narrative review and perspectives moving forward in the USA. Family Medicine and Community Health, 8(3), e000530. https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocy104

Weiss, D., Rydland, H. T., Øversveen, E., Jensen, M. R., Solhaug, S., & Krokstad, S. (2019). Innovative technologies and social inequalities in health: A scoping review of the literature. PloS One, 13(4), e0195447.

Whitehead, L., & Seaton, P. (2016). The effectiveness of self-management mobile phone and tablet apps in long-term condition management: A systematic review. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 18(5), e97. https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.4883

Zhang, Y., Liu, C., Luo, S., Xie, Y., Liu, F., Li, X., & Zhou, Z. (2021). Factors influencing patients' intentions to use diabetes management apps based on an extended unified theory of acceptance and use of technology model: Web-based survey. Journal of Medical Internet Research, 23(2), e23312. https://doi.org/10.2196/23312

Corresponding Author
Christian Kelly Lartey Mensah
email